Publicat pe (https://www.bnm.md)

05.05.2014

Alternative measures of core inflation: truncated mean and weighted median

Technical box extracted from:

Inflation Report no.2, May 2014 [1]

Although most often used by central banks implementing the inflation targeting regime, measures of core inflation calculated by the method of excluding certain predetermined components, which are determined by external factors, the decisions of authorities or that had a very volatile behavior in the past, have some disadvantages and provide sometimes an incomplete vision on inflationary pressures generated by monetary factors. Thus, these may eliminate some relevant information, and the remaining components may contain transitory information. For example, there is no certainty that the change in prices for some food products, which are generally excluded from the calculation of core inflation, will not contain information on inflationary trends in the economy. Their removal could lead to the elimination of valuable information for decision makers. However, there are factors other than food prices and fuel prices that could compromise the attempts to measure price increases driven by monetary factors, which remain to be included in traditional measures of core inflation.

These deficiencies involve the need for alternative methods of calculation of the core inflation index, based more on statistical techniques and less on the characteristics of the components included in the CPI. Within these measures, the excluded components differ in each period, and their exclusion criterion is determined by certain statistical properties, in this case by how far is the respective component from the central tendency in a certain period and contains no economic substrate. These techniques involve as theoretical guide the monetary concept of inflation compared to the traditional microeconomic concept based on the cost of living.

The method of **truncated mean** is a statistical method for measuring the central tendency, which is similar to the mean or median. This requires calculating the average after eliminating (trimming) a certain percentage of the two ends of the distribution of price changes. In other words, this means trimming a certain percentage of CPI components that have the highest and lowest prices change in a given period. Typically, the percentage eliminated from the two ends is identical, but there are cases of asymmetric truncated mean. In most cases from 5.0 to 25.0 percent of the ends are truncated.

Truncated mean of α - percent is calculated by using the following formula:

$$\bar{X}_{\alpha} = \frac{1}{1-2\frac{\alpha}{100}} \sum_{i \in I_{\alpha}} w_i x_i$$

, where I_{α} is $\frac{\alpha}{100} < W_i < (1-\frac{\alpha}{100})$ $W_i \equiv \sum_{j=1}^{i} w_j$

Weighted median represents an extreme case of the truncated mean and represents the growth of the component that is in the middle of the distribution ordered ascending. Thus, half of the monthly increases are under the weighted median and half are above the median. Therefore, the median is calculated according to the previous procedure, but this is the first price increase whose total share is more than or equal to 50 percent.

Chart no. 1. Core inflation by method of exclusion and by method of truncated mean of 40 percent





Chart no. 2. Core inflation by method of exclusion and by method of weighted median.



The annual evolution of the truncated mean (Chart no.1) of inflation is different from the annual growth rate of core inflation calculated by the method of exclusion. Thus, although in early 2010 these recorded similar values, during the year the annual growth rate of inflation measured by the truncated mean recorded a significant growth, which led its trajectory to be superior to the latter, indicating a price increase due to aggregate demand pressures higher than that of the second indicator. In the first quarter of 2011, the truncated mean of inflation experienced a pronounced downward trend, while the core inflation had a relatively stable dynamic. After this episode, by the end of 2011, both indicators recorded an upward dynamic, signaling increasing demand pressures. However, the trajectory of the truncated mean was by

about 2.0 percentage points lower. In 2012, both indicators recorded downward trajectories due to slowing economic growth, while the difference between core inflation calculated by the truncated mean method and that calculated by the method of exclusion remained. In 2013, both indicators recorded slight upward trajectories, and at the beginning of 2014 the difference between them is widening.

In early 2010, the weighted median (Chart no.2) had a similar evolution to that of core inflation calculated by the method of exclusion. After a significant reduction in first quarter of 2011, and after a modest increase by the end of the year, the weighted median was significantly lower than the other indicator. Towards the end of 2011, the difference between the two measures of core inflation was about 4.0 percentage points. This difference, however, experienced a decline during 2012 and early 2013 to about 2.5 percentage points. The basic idea of the weighted median is that the pressures from the aggregate demand were significantly lower in the last three years than indicated by core inflation calculated by the method of exclusion.

Vezi și

Tag-uri
median [2]
core inflation [3]
exclusion [4]
mean [5]
truncated mean [6]
inflation targeting [7]
target [8]

Sursa URL:
https://www.bnm.md/ro/node/52512

Legături conexe:

[1] https://www.bnm.md/en/content/inflation-report-no2-may-2013 [2] https://www.bnm.md/ro/search?hashtags[0]=median [3] https://www.bnm.md/ro/search?hashtags[0]=core inflation [4] https://www.bnm.md/ro/search?hashtags[0]=exclusion [5] https://www.bnm.md/ro/search?hashtags[0]=mean [6] https://www.bnm.md/ro/search?hashtags[0]=truncated mean [7] https://www.bnm.md/ro/search?hashtags[0]=inflation targeting [8] https://www.bnm.md/ro/search?hashtags[0]=target